indicating that the dynamics of the small fly are dominated by body inertia and not friction.

This assertion was further tested in several ways. First, we calculated \( I \) and \( C \) on the basis of the animal’s body morphology (6). The values \( I = 5.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{N m s}^2 \), \( C = 5.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{N m s} \) yield a time constant \( \tau = I/C = 1 \text{ s} \), about 20 times the duration of a single saccade. Second, we replayed the measured wing kinematics during the saccades through the robot to generate a time course of yaw torque, \( T_y \), throughout the maneuver. We then derived \( I \) and \( C \) from a multilinear regression of \( T_y \) on the measured body kinematics (6). This procedure yielded a value of \( 5.9 \times 10^{-13} \pm 3.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ N m s}^2 \) for \( I \) and a value of \( 1.1 \times 10^{-12} \pm 2.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N m s} \) for \( C \) (mean \( \pm SD, N = 6 \)).

The corresponding time constant, 0.53 s, although smaller than that derived from body morphology, is still 10 times the duration of a saccade. Finally, we calculated the torque required to generate the observed body kinematics according to Eq. 1, using the morphologically based values of \( I \) and \( C \). Given the assumptions and potential sources of error in our analysis, the time course of the predicted torque based solely on body motion and morphology matches well the time course of torque measured independently by playing the wing kinematics through the robot (Fig. 3D). It is not surprising that the torque estimated from body kinematics underestimates that measured from wing motion. The calculated value of \( C \) is most likely an overestimate because it is based on Stokes’ Law and assumes a very low Reynolds number for the rotation, whereas the calculation of \( I \) is likely an underestimate because added mass effects have been ignored. Collectively, the results strongly contradict previous assumptions that the flight dynamics of flies are dominated by friction (4, 5).

To determine how flies change wing motion to generate yaw torque, we sorted all stroke cycles within the entire data set according to the magnitude of yaw torque created during each cycle (Fig. 3E). Two specific changes in wing motion correlate most strongly with measured yaw torque: a backward tilt of the stroke plane and an increase in stroke amplitude (Fig. 3, E and F). The backward tilt of the stroke plane accompanies an increase in the aerodynamic angle of attack that elevates flight force during the upstroke. This augmentation at the start of the upstroke has a particularly potent effect on yaw torque because the force created by the wing is roughly orthogonal to the fly’s yaw axis at this point in the cycle (Fig. 3, A, B, and G). The change in torque is further augmented by an increase in stroke amplitude, which elevates wing velocity (Fig. 3G). Other parameters, such as subtle changes in angle of attack relative to the stroke path (Fig. 2B), may also play a role. At the onset of a saccade, the outside wing tilts back and beats with a greater stroke amplitude relative to the inside wing (Fig. 3F). After 12.5 ms, the conditions reverse, in accordance with the need to generate counter torque to decelerate.

These experiments show how tiny insects control aerodynamic forces to actively maneuver through their environment. Although the analyses rely on several simplifying assumptions (6), these are not critical for the main conclusions drawn. The internal consistency of the data further corroborates that the measurements were performed with adequate precision. The results indicate that even in small insects the torques created by the wings act primarily to overcome inertia, not friction. Because of the minor importance of frictional coupling, a countertorque is necessary to terminate the rotation of the body. The torques required to turn are produced by remarkably subtle changes in wing motion. A slight tilt of the stroke plane angle and a minor change in stroke amplitude are sufficient to accelerate the animal around the yaw axis. Although these experiments were performed on tiny fruit flies, the results are relevant for nearly all insects, because the relative importance of rotational inertia over friction increases with size. Collectively, these results provide an important basis for future research on the neural and mechanical basis of insect flight, as well as insights for the design of biomimetic flying devices.
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**Environmental Noise Retards Auditory Cortical Development**

Edward F. Chang* and Michael M. Merzenich

The mammalian auditory cortex normally undergoes rapid and progressive functional maturation. Here we show that rearing infant rat pups in continuous, moderate-level noise delayed the emergence of adultlike topographic representation and the refinement of response selectivity in the primary auditory cortex (A1) long beyond normal developmental benchmarks. When those noise-reared adult rats were subsequently exposed to a pulsed pure-tone stimulus, A1 rapidly reorganized, demonstrating that exposure-driven plasticity characteristic of the critical period was still ongoing. These results demonstrate that A1 organization is shaped by a young animal’s exposure to salient, structured acoustic inputs—and implicate noise as a risk factor for abnormal child development.
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**Auditory Cortical Development**
nemic structure of the infant’s native language is a probable manifestation of this powerful, sound-exposure–based critical-period plasticity (4).

In this study, we investigated how cortical development is affected by degraded signal-to-noise conditions. Specifically, we were interested in conditions that could simulate natural environments that apply to human infant hearing (5) and that could simulate the many possible inherited deficits that contribute to poor signal-to-noise conditions in central auditory processes. Previous attempts at reversibly depriving animals of natural acoustic inputs have been largely unsuccessful (6, 7). A simple alternative strategy used in the present experiments was the rearing of infant rats in continuous noise applied to effectively mask normal environmental sound inputs.

Litters of rat pups were reared in continuous moderate-intensity [70 dB sound pressure level (SPL)] white noise beginning at P7, i.e., well before the commencement of hearing (8). The auditory cortex was subsequently electrophysiologically mapped in fine detail, within 24 hours after removal from noise exposure of rats at P16, P26, P50, and P90 (n = 3 to 4 at each age). Control animals reared under standard housing conditions were mapped at the same ages.

The development of the auditory cortex has been characterized by the progressive differentiation and refinement of a posterior zone of auditory-responsive neurons, functionally identified as A1, and by the loss of tone-evoked responsiveness over a large, broadly tuned anterior region (Fig. 1, A and B). In young rats, such as those at P16, auditory receptive fields were typically tuned to high frequencies and mostly exhibited flat, plateaued tuning curves (Fig. 1, A, D, and G). Cortical areas in which these nonselective receptive fields were recorded are denoted by hatched areas in Fig. 1A and by the red tuning curves in Fig. 1G. In older control rats (at P50 and P90) (Fig. 1, B, E, and H), the frequency representation in A1 was complete and regular, with highly selective responses predominating. These changes primarily occurred during the first month of life (control data in Fig. 1, K and L) (7).

By contrast, young adult, continuous noise–reared (CNR) rats retained a primitively organized auditory cortex that was mark-

Fig. 1. Developmental organization of the auditory cortex is prolonged by noise rearing. (A and B) Representative auditory cortical characteristic frequency (CF) maps from (A) a P16 infant rat and (B) a P50 young adult rat reared in a normal acoustic environment. (C) Cortical map from a P50 noise-reared adult rat. Neurons sampled from the hatched areas had bandwidths at 20 dB above threshold (BW20s) that were greater than 1.5 octaves. O, unresponsive cortical site; X, non-A1 site (see text). Scale bar, 1000 μm. (D to F) Typical receptive fields recorded from the sites marked in the maps in (A) to (C). (G to I) Distribution of BW10 tuning curve tips from each map, illustrating the CF threshold, and BW10s recorded at each penetration. Red tips denote BW10s greater than 1.5 octaves. (J) Percentage of auditory cortex that was tuned to low, middle, or high frequencies (1.5-octave bins). (K) Area of the total tone-driven cortical zone for control and CNR rats (n = 4 rats for each group). (L) Developmental changes in BW20 in control and CNR rats. *, P < 0.005. Error bars represent means ± SEM.
edly similar to that recorded in very young infant control pups (Fig. 1C). As was recorded in naive P16 rats, adult CNR rats retained a very large tone-responsive area—on average two to three times greater than in age-matched control animals (Fig. 1, B, C, and K) (P50 control: 1.78 ± 0.25 mm²; P50 noise-reared: 4.99 ± 0.45 mm²; P < 0.0001). An immature status was also indicated by an enduring predominance of nonselective, high-frequency–tuned neurons, especially across a broad anterior auditory responsive zone in young adult CNR rats (Fig. 1, C, F, and I). The distribution of best frequencies recorded in control infant P16 pups and adult CNR rats was not significantly different, whereas both differed from those recorded in the normally reared P50 rats (Fig. 1J) [P < 0.05, analysis of variance (ANOVA)]. At an early developmental stage, and also in the context of noise rearing, the spectral selectivity of cortical neurons was equally poorly differentiated. Response bandwidth measures at 20 dB above threshold (BW20s, a measure of spectral selectivity) revealed that noise-reared adult animals displayed more broadly tuned neurons compared to control animals, again matching the tuning normally recorded in P16 rats (Fig. 1L) (P50 control: 1.02 ± 0.04 octaves; P50 noise-reared: 1.72 ± 0.03 octaves; P < 0.001). These differences in frequency representation area and spectral selectivity observed at P50 were also evident at ages P26 and P90 (Fig. 1L) (P < 0.0001, ANOVA across all age groups, post hoc Bonferroni corrected t test). Thus, both of these measures and others (9) determined at multiple benchmarks revealed a very slow, progressive organizational advance of the auditory cortex recorded in CNR rats, in sharp distinction to normally reared controls.

How do degraded acoustic conditions imposed by noise rearing alter another defining property of the developing cortex, namely, critical-period plasticity? Passive exposure of rats to pure tones during the first month of postnatal life results in the overrepresentation of those specific sounds by more selectively responding areas in A1 (1). As in the primary visual cortex (10), exposure-dependent plasticity takes place only during a limited (“critical”) period, which extends from about P12 to P30 in rat A1. In post-P30 rats, cortical plasticity is contingent on behavioral context (such as attention, punishment, reward, or error monitoring), hence no substantial exposure-driven plasticity can be recorded in A1 (11–15).

To further test the conclusion that noise rearing delayed the end of the critical period for A1, we transferred sexually mature adult CNR rats at ages P50 and P90 to a second sound-attenuation chamber and exposed them to a 7-kHz tone train (seven repetitions in 1 s, every 5 s). After 2 weeks in this new sound environment, the auditory cortices of these rats were mapped as described previously. A1 in these tone-exposed CNR rats substantially overrepresented 7 kHz as compared with A1 in control rats (in a range of ±0.3 octaves; n = 4 rats per group, P < 0.001, ANOVA) (Fig. 2, A and B). Representations of immediately lower and higher frequencies (in 0.6-octave bins) were also sharply and selectively reduced in extent (Fig. 2, G to J) (P < 0.005, ANOVA). Age-matched control rats exposed to pure tones on an identical schedule did not differ from naive controls, again confirming that a critical period, defined as an epoch of exposure-based reorganization of the auditory cortex, had ended for those animals. CNR rats that received a 7-kHz exposure also displayed residual effects of the noise exposure. Although the overall auditory cortical area had decreased and most sampled neurons...
Continuous-noise rearing had different impacts on developmental maturation than does rearing in the presence of pulsed noise (15, 20). Although the temporal synchronization of acoustic inputs in pulsed noise produced broader-than-normal receptive fields, those very degraded receptive fields were also incomplete, patchy, and commonly double-peaked (15). In both naïve infant and CNR rats, by contrast, receptive fields were characterized by broad, complete, and single-peaked (Fig. 1, D and F). Pulsed-noise reared rats at later ages did not exhibit the retention of an immature, nonselective tone-responsive cortical area as recorded in naïve infant and adult CNR rats (Fig. 1, A and C). Pulsed-noise exposure appeared to accelerate the final consolidation of a distorted cortical topography (15). Rats exposed to pulsed noise had substantial long-term distortions of cortical receptive fields, whereas the immature response properties recorded in noise-reared rats resolved for the most part after rats were returned to normal acoustic environments. Most importantly, rats reared in pulsed noise were not susceptible to exposure-based plasticity beyond the normal end of the critical period. These differences indicate that synchronous and temporally coherent auditory inputs, such as are present in pulsed noise and that result in the maturation of dimensions of A1 functionality, are crucial for ending the critical period of development. By contrast, the highly unstructured activities evoked by continuous noise retard cortical development and indefinitely extend the critical-period window. This observation is consistent with the finding in V1 that strengthening of locally correlated activity results in the release of one or more trophic factors (such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor) that enable changes that can close the critical-period window (21, 22).

Continuous-noise rearing has also been shown to affect the development of behavior and topography in other auditory-related pro-
Axons Guided by Insulin Receptor in Drosophila Visual System

Jianbo Song, Lingling Wu, Zun Chen, Ronald A. Kohanski, Leslie Pick*

Insulin receptors are abundant in the central nervous system, but their roles remain elusive. Here we show that the insulin receptor functions in axon guidance. The Drosophila insulin receptor (DInR) is required for photoreceptor-cell (R-cell) axons to find their way from the retina to the brain during development of the visual system. DInR functions as a guidance receptor for the adapter protein Dock/Nck. This function is independent of Chico, the Drosophila insulin receptor substrate (IRS) homolog.

Insulin receptors in the central nervous system have been implicated in control of food uptake, learning, and memory, and pathophysiologies such as Alzheimer’s disease (1–6). Drosophila harbor one receptor tyrosine kinase of the insulin receptor family (7–9), which avoids genetic redundancy in mammals that have three members of the insulin receptor family (10). DInR is expressed ubiquitously throughout the fly life cycle and is required for viability (11, 12), longevity, and female fertility (13, 14). Some combinations of hypomorphic alleles support survival, producing animals that are developmentally delayed and smaller than wild type (11, 12, 15). The growth-related phenotypes are likely mediated through Chico, a Drosophila IRS-like protein (16).

To identify additional downstream signaling partners, we used the DInR intracellular domain as bait in a yeast two-hybrid screen and identified Dreadlocks (Dock, Fig. 1A). Dock, a homolog of mammalian Nek, is an adapter protein composed of one SH2 and three SH3 domains (17–19). Interactions between Dock and DInR depend on DInR’s C-terminal tail (11, 20), which contains tyrosine phosphorylation sites and proline-rich sequences and is thought to mimic some functions of insulin receptor substrates (IRSs) (21, 22). A kinase-inactive form of DInR [in which Lys1358 is replaced by Ala (K1358A)] did not interact with Dock. DInR-K1358A was expressed at levels comparable to that of the wild type but was not detectably autophosphorylated (Fig. 1A, inset). Additional yeast two-hybrid assays indicated that DInR interacts with both the SH2 and SH3 domains of Dock (fig. S1). The absolute requirement for DInR autophosphorylation likely reflects both ligand-induced phosphorysotryrin interaction(s) with Dock’s SH2 domain and autophosphorylation-induced conformational change that allows the C-terminal tail to bind Dock’s SH3 domains. This dual interaction is consistent with the finding that Dock’s SH2 and SH3 domains can partially substitute for each other to support R-cell axon guidance (19).

Dock is required for R-cell axon guidance and is expressed in the neuropils of the lamina and medulla where R-cell growth cones terminate (18, 19). Although we detected DInR ubiquitously, it was markedly enriched in R-cell axon projections and growth cones of third-instar larval eye-brain complexes (Fig. 1B), as is Dock (18, 23), although the